

1 HONORABLE RICHARD A. JONES
2
3
4
5
6

7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT SEATTLE

10 R.W. and R.J.T.,

11 Plaintiffs,

12 v.

13 LIBERTY MUTUAL FIRE INSURANCE
14 COMPANY,

15 Defendant.

16 Case No. C16-465 RAJ

17 ORDER

18 On January 27, 2017, the Court ordered Defendant Liberty Mutual Fire Insurance
19 Company (“Liberty”) to file a surreply on Plaintiffs R.W. and R.J.T.’s Motion to Compel.
20 Dkt. # 42. Liberty has since done so. Dkt. # 43.

21 In the surreply, Liberty responds to Plaintiffs’ request—raised for the first time in
22 their reply brief—for unredacted versions of certain documents produced after the motion
23 to compel was filed. *Id.* Having considered the surreply and all other briefs submitted on
24 the motion to compel, the Court finds that Plaintiffs’ request is unwarranted and declines
25 to require the production of unredacted documents. The surreply confirms that Plaintiffs
26
27

28 \\

\\

\\

\\

\\

28 ORDER – 1

1 have failed to establish any plausible basis for why the unredacted documents are
2 relevant to their claims.

3
4 DATED this 7th day of February, 2017.

5
6 
7

8
9
10 The Honorable Richard A. Jones
11 United States District Judge
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDER – 2